

REMARKS

Claims 6, and 9-14 are currently pending in the Application and have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,560,640 (Smethers) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,930 (Mintz). New Claim 15 is being added.

In addition to the arguments presented in the previous Response dated October 27, 2005, the following arguments are respectfully submitted.

Smethers teaches a “compact bookmark identifier and not including a universal resource locator for the selected bookmark page.” (Column 3, Lines 40-44, emphasis added). Moreover, Smethers teaches “[n]either the compact bookmark identifier nor the compact request include a universal resource locator [URL] for the selected bookmarked document” (Column 2, Lines 63-65), which clearly teaches away from the present invention.

Mintz teaches opening a plurality of browsers in a memo, message, survey, questionnaire or direct mail piece for conducting opinion surveys. Moreover Mintz teaches the system works reliably in delivering and displaying web pages even when a user is not connected to the Internet. The e-Logic platform includes support for additional graphics file formats (such as .tif, .gif, .jpg and .pcx formats), additional sound file formats (including .ra, .ram and .midi formats), additional video file formats (including .mov, .qt, .mpg and .mv formats), as well as animated .gif and animated .jpg file formats.


Regarding the Examiner's statement in Paragraph 11 of the Advisory Action dated November 14, 2005, that "the claim does not actually require separate and disjoint URL, [sic] browser ID fields" it is respectfully submitted that as further proof of the patentability of the claims of the present invention, Claim 6 includes the recitation of each bookmark includes a URL (Uniform Resource Locator) field for saving an address of an Internet resource and a browser ID field for saving a browser ID; Claim 12 includes the recitation of assigning a bookmark file and allocating an ID corresponding to the selected browser in the assigned bookmark file, and inputting a URL of the Internet resource having the unique protocol in the assigned bookmark file; and Claim 14 includes the recitation of the bookmark including a browser ID corresponding to a particular browser, and a URL. These recitations are neither taught nor suggested by Smethers or Mintz or the combination thereof.

New Claim 15 includes the recitation of saving a bookmark frame including the URL of the Internet resource having the unique protocol in the assigned bookmark file and the allocated ID corresponding to the selected browser in the assigned bookmark file, which is neither taught nor suggested by Smethers or Mintz or the combination thereof.

Independent Claims 6, 12 and 14 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 9-11, 13, and 15, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 9-11 and 13, is respectfully requested.

PATENT APPLICATION
678-713 (P9688)

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 6 and 9-15, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully yours,

Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE
333 Earle Ovington Blvd
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/VAG/ml